

Definite feminine clitics and telicity in idioms

BCGL 8: The grammar of idioms

Xavier Villalba & M. Teresa Espinal

Research project COMPOSING (FFI2011-23356)
Centre de Lingüística Teòrica
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona
Xavier.Villalba@uab.cat & Teresa.Espinal@uab.cat
<http://blogs.uab.cat/composing>

Brussels, June 5th 2015

Telicity and articles

- Similarities between the reference type of NPs/DPs and the temporal/aspectual constitution of VPs: Krifka (1989, 1992, 1998); also Dowty (1979), Jackendoff (1991).
- The presence of articles correlates with a telic reading of an activity verb:

to drink beer	ATELIC
to drink a beer	TELIC
<hr/>	
to read novels	ATELIC
to read a/the novel	TELIC

Aspectual meaning and compositionality in idioms

- McGinnis (2002): the aspectual meaning of idioms is structural, systematic and compositional, and it is to be distinguished from their idiosyncratic, encyclopaedic, non-syntactically transparent meaning (see also Marantz (1997), Espinal and Mateu (2010)):

non-idiomatic

Hermione hung a picture in five minutes.	TELIC
Harry hung pictures/laundry for/#in an hour.	ATELIC

idiomatic

Hermione <i>hung a left</i> in five minutes. 'to turn left'	TELIC
Harry <i>hung fire</i> for/#in a week. 'to wait'	ATELIC

Data: Definite Feminine Clitics and idioms (Espinal 2009) I

(1) Catalan

a. ballar-**la**

dance-3ACC.F.SG

'to suffer.'

b. tirar-**les** amb bala.

throw-3ACC.F.PL with bullet

'to speak wryly.'

Data: Definite Feminine Clitics and idioms (Espinal 2009) II

- (2) French
- a. l'échapper belle
3ACC.F.SG-escape nice
'escape from a danger'
- b. se les rouler
SELF 3ACC.F.SG roll
'do nothing'

Data: Definite Feminine Clitics and idioms (Espinal 2009) III

(3) Italian

- a. passar^{la} liscia
 pass-3ACC.F.SG smooth
 ‘get away scot-free’
- b. sparar^{le} grosse
 shoot-3ACC.F.PL big.F.PL
 ‘tell tall stories’

Data: Definite Feminine Clitics and idioms (Espinal 2009) IV

(4) Spanish

- a. armar^{la}
arm-3ACC.F.SG
'make trouble'
- b. pirárse^{las}
go-CL-3ACC.F.SG
'leave'

Aim and hypothesis

AIM

- We reassess McGinnis' (2002) claim that the aspectual meaning of idioms is completely systematic on the basis of Catalan verbal idioms with inherent definite feminine clitics (IDFC).

HYPOTHESIS

- IDFC that encode abstract semantic objects play a major role when shifting the expected telicity of a basic transitive change of state predicate to convey an *ATELIC* eventuality.

Transitive change of state verbs: tirar 'to throw' I

- (5) **non-idiomatic verb with definite DP** → TELIC
- a. *Va* [V tirar] [DP la pilota] a l'altra
 PAST.3SG throw the.F ball to the.F-other
banda del carrer en un moment.
 side of.the street in a moment
 '(S)he threw the ball to the other side of the street in an instant.'
- b. *#Va* [V tirar] [DP la pilota] a l'altra
 PAST.3SG throw the.F ball to the.F-other
banda del carrer durant una bona estona.
 side of.the street during a.F good.F time
 '(S)he threw the ball to the other side of the street during a long period of time.'

Transitive change of state verbs: tirar 'to throw' II

(6) idiomatic verb with feminine clitic → ATELIC

- a. #Va començar el discurs
 PAST.3SG start the discourse
tirant-les amb bala en un moment.
 throwing.3ACC.F.PL with bullet in a moment
 '(S)he started the discourse speaking wryly in an instant.'
- b. Va començar el discurs
 PAST.3SG start the discourse
tirant-les amb bala durant una bona estona.
 throwing.3ACC.F.PL with bullet during a good
 time
 '(S)he started the discourse speaking wryly during a long period of time.'

Transitivized unaccusative change of state verbs: *passar* 'to pass' I

(7) **non-idiomatic** → TELIC

- a. *Va* [V *passar*] [DP *la prova*] *en cinc minuts.*
 PAST.3SG pass the.F test in five minutes
 '(S)he passed the test in five minutes.'
- b. *#Va* [V *passar*] [DP *la prova*] *durant tota*
 PAST.3SG pass the.F test during all.F
la tarda. (OK AS ITERATIVE, ONLY.)
 the.F afternoon
 '(S)he passed the test during the whole afternoon.'

Transitivized unaccusative change of state verbs: *passar* 'to pass' II

- (8) **idiomatic verb with phrasal object** → ATELIC
- a. #*Va* *passar el rosari en cinc minuts.*
 PAST.3SG pass the.F rosary in five minutes
 '(S)he prayed in five minutes.'
- b. *Va* *passar el rosari durant tota la*
 PAST.3SG pass the.F rosary during all.F the.F
tarda.
 afternoon
 '(S)he prayed during the whole afternoon.'

Transitivized unaccusative change of state verbs: *passar* 'to pass' III

- (9) **idiomatic verb with feminine clitic** → ATELIC
- a. #*Va* *passar-la* *prima* *en cinc minuts.*
 PAST.3SG pass-3ACC.F.SG thin.F.SG in five minutes
 '(S)he suffered in five minutes.'
- b. *Va* *passar-la* *prima* *durant tota*
 PAST.3SG pass-3ACC.F.SG thin.F.SG during all.F
la infantesa.
 the.F childhood
 '(S)he suffered during her/his whole childhood.'

Analysis

- We defend an analysis that separates morphosyntactic definiteness from semantic abstract object denotation (Asher 1993, Moltmann 2013),
- We argue that semantic abstract object denotation [=undeterminate objects, propositions, properties, facts, atemporal situations; see Asher (1993)] plays a major role at the time of constraining the aspectual properties of Catalan verbal idioms with inherent clitics.
- We adopt Krifka's (2007) basic ⟨B(ackground),F(ocus)⟩ structure of information packaging, and we argue that the clitic, in the cases at stake, is part of the denotation focus.

$\langle B, F \rangle$ structure: argument clitic

- (10) \langle Aquella dansa \rangle_i ; la_i va ballar.
 that dance 3ACC.F.SG PAST.3SG dance
 'That dance, (s)he danced.'

$\langle \lambda x \exists e [V(x)(e)](aquella\ dansa)_i, \langle la_i\ va\ ballar \rangle \rangle$
 BACKGROUND FOCUS

- This structure constrains the telicity of the predicate by combining the V with an incremental theme (Dowty 1991, Krifka 1992), resulting in a non-idiomatic telic reading.
- Pronominal clitics encode the presence of an unsaturated argument position (Delfitto 2002).

$\langle B, F \rangle$ structure: predicate modification I

- (11) Durant la guerra *la* va ballar.
 during the.F war 3ACC.F.SG PAST.3SG dance
 'During the war (s)he suffered.'

$\langle \lambda\mu\lambda e[\mu-V(e)\&\text{durant-la-guerra}(e)], \langle \text{la-va-ballar} \rangle \rangle$
 BACKGROUND FOCUS

$\langle B, F \rangle$ structure: predicate modification II

$\langle \lambda\mu\lambda e[\mu-V(e)\&\text{durant-la-guerra}(e)], \langle \text{la-va-ballar} \rangle \rangle$

- Idiomatic constructions with IDFC encode functional abstraction over argument positions of the verbal predicate (Espinal 2009).
- V+IDFC idiomatic constructions encode λ -abstraction over a range of non-referential topics that denote abstract objects.
- IDFC are neither syntactically nor semantically bound (Heim and Kratzer 1998).

$\langle B, F \rangle$ structure: predicate modification III

$\langle \lambda \mu \lambda e [\mu - V(e) \& \text{durant-la-guerra}(e)], \langle \text{la-va-ballar} \rangle \rangle$

- The logical status of IDFC is μ , a metavariable that ranges over a variety of abstract semantic objects (\neq individual entities).
- $\mu - V$ is a complex predicate, where μ modifies the property denoted by the verb (Dayal 2003, 2011).
- When there is no referential antecedent for the pronoun, the clitic does not co-refer, the V does not combine with an incremental theme, resulting in an idiomatic atelic reading.

$\langle B, F \rangle$ structure: unification

- IDFC may also restrict the verb's internal argument by a process of unification of features (Farkas and de Swart 2003).
- This applies when the antecedent of the clitic denotes an indeterminate object.

(12) *Va començar el discurs tirant-les amb bala.*
 PAST.3SG start the discourse throwing.them with
 bullet
 '(S)he started the discourse speaking wryly.'

- *les*=les paraules 'the words'

$\langle B, F \rangle$ structure: unification

$\langle \lambda x \lambda e [V(x)(e) \& \exists \mu [\mu \infty x]], \langle \text{les-tira-amb-bala} \rangle \rangle$

- (Reformulated) *unification* (Espinal 2009): Replace the relevant selecting features x of a verbal predicate with the set of features μ contributed by the abstract object in the background.
- Unification applies when the indeterminate object represented by the metavariable μ (i.e., *les* stands for ‘the words’ in *tirar-les amb bala*) overlaps with the denotation of the internal argument of the V [∞ =overlapping].

Predictions: predicate anaphora I

- VP idioms containing IDFC characteristically denote atemporal situations.
- The abstract non-referential status of the IDFC contributes a generic atemporal reading incompatible with a factual predicate anaphora in the subsequent discourse (Espinal 2009).
- TEST: idioms with IDFC cannot serve as antecedents of factual predicate anaphoras.

Predictions: predicate anaphora II

- *Això va pasar* 'it took place' requires that the antecedent refers to a factual event, which involves times and locations. (See also Delfitto 2004) for discussion on the factual resumptive anaphoric expression *la cosa* 'the thing')

- (13) *Aquella dansa la va ballar. I això*
 that dance 3ACC.F.SG PAST.3SG dance and that
va passar al segon bis.
 PAST.3SG happen at-the second encore
 'That dance was danced. And it took place at the second encore.'

Predictions: predicate anaphora III

- *Això va passar* 'it took place' requires that the antecedent refers to a factual event, which involves times and locations. (See also Delfitto 2004) for discussion on the factual resumptive anaphoric expression *la cosa* 'the thing')

- (14) Durant la guerra *la* va ballar. #I això
 during the war 3ACC.F.SG PAST.3SG dance and that
va passar també a la seva família.
 PAST.3SG happen too at the his/her family
 'During the war (s)he suffered. And it took place too to his/her family.'

Predictions I

- When the IDFC has an abstract object denotation and the antecedent of the clitic is a salient topic referring also to an abstract object, the predicate is still atelic.

(15) [Aquelles paraules] *les* *va* *engegar sense*
 those words 3ACC.F.PL PAST.3SG throw without
engaltar *durant una bona part de la reunió.*
 take.aim during a.F good.F part of the.F meeting
 ‘Those words, (s)he throw without hesitation during part
 of the meeting.’

Predictions II

- When the IDFC has an abstract object denotation and the antecedent of the clitic is a salient topic referring also to an abstract object, the predicate is still atelic.

(16) [Amb aquella broma de mal gust] *la* *va*
 with that.F joke of bad taste 3ACC.F.SG PAST.3SG
ben jugar al seu soci durant els deu
 well play to-the his/her partner during the.PL years
anys abans del judici.
 before of-the trial
 'With that bad joke (s)he fooled his/her partner for the
 ten years preceding the trial.'

Predictions: other clitics I

- When the inherent clitic, even if it is not definite feminine, has an abstract object denotation and the antecedent of the clitic is a salient topic referring also to an abstract object, the predicate is still atelic.

(17) [La seva situació] era difícil, però *se'n*
 the.F his/her.F situation was difficult but SELF-of.it
va sortir molt bé al llarg de tot el judici.
 PAST.3SG result very well to-the long of all the trial
 '(S)he was in a difficult situation, but (s)he managed very
 well during the trial.'

Predictions: other clitics II

- (18) [Quines idees!] No sé pas com
 which.F.PL ideas! not know.1SG NEG how
s'ho va *enginyar* tan bé durant tot
 SELF-3ACC.NEUT PAST.3SG think so well during all
 el judici.
 the trial
 'What ideas! I don't know how (s)he managed so well
 during the whole trial.'

Conclusions

- We conclude that *TELIC* to *ATELIC* shifts in idioms with *IDFC* are constrained by the presence of an abstract semantic object in the Background of the information structure
- This object conveys abstract anaphora and is not interpretable as an incremental theme, the clue to understand incremental relations between objects and events (Krifka 1998).
- In line with Corver (2013) hypothesis regarding an affective use of some linguistic expressions, we foresee that *idiomaticity* (as *affectivity*) follows from a marked use of available functional atoms (and operations) in the grammar: abstract object denotation, unification, incorporation/modification.

Thank you!

Xavier Villalba

M. Teresa Espinal

Xavier.Villalba@uab.cat

Teresa.Espinal@uab.cat

<http://blogs.uab.cat/composing>



Centre de Lingüística Teòrica

Funded by projects FFI2011-23356 (MINECO) and 2014SGR1013 (AGAUR).

References I

- Asher, N.: 1993, *Reference to Abstract Objects in Discourse*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Corver, N.: 2013, Colorful spleeny ideas speak furiously. a passionate question at the interface of language and emotion.
- Dayal, V.: 2003, A semantics for pseudo incorporation. Rutgers University.
- Dayal, V.: 2011, Hindi pseudo-incorporation, *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* **29**(1), 123–167.
- Delfitto, D.: 2002, On the semantics of pronominal clitics and some of its consequences, *Catalan Journal of Linguistics* **1**, 41–69.
- Dowty, D.: 1991, Thematic Proto-Roles and Argument Selection, *Language* **67**(3), 547 – 619.
- Dowty, D. R.: 1979, *Word Meaning and Montague Grammar*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht.

References II

- Espinal, M. T.: 2009, Clitic incorporation and abstract semantic objects in idiomatic constructions, *Linguistics* **47**(6), 1221 – 1271.
- Espinal, M. T. and Mateu, J.: 2010, On classes of idioms and their interpretation, *Journal of Pragmatics* **42**(5), 1397–1411.
- Farkas, D. F. and de Swart, H.: 2003, *The Semantics of Incorporation: From Argument Structure to Discourse Transparency*, CSLI, Stanford.
- Heim, I. and Kratzer, A.: 1998, *Semantics in Generative Grammar*, Blackwell.
- Jackendoff, R.: 1991, Parts and boundaries, *Cognition* **41**(1-3), 9–45.
Gw723 Times Cited:119 Cited References Count:42.
- Krifka, M.: 1989, *Nominalreferenz, Zeitkonstitution, Aspekt, Aktionsart: Eine semantische Erklärung ihrer Interpretation*, Niemeyer, Tübingen.
in collection xavier in collection Xavier.
- Krifka, M.: 1992, A compositional semantics for multiple focus construction, in J. Jacobs (ed.), *Informationsstruktur und Grammatik*, Westdeutscher Verlag, Opladen, pp. 17–53.

References III

- Krifka, M.: 1998, *The origins of telicity*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp. 197–236.
- Marantz, A.: 1997, No escape from syntax: Don't try morphological analysis in the privacy of your own lexicon, *University of Pennsylvania working papers in linguistics* **4**(2), 14.
- McGinnis, M.: 2002, On the systematic aspect of idioms, *Linguistic Inquiry* **33**(4), 665–672.
- Moltmann, F.: 2013, *Abstract Objects and the Semantics of Natural Language*, OUP Oxford.